

FILED ENTERED
LODGED RECEIVED

OCT 30 2003

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

IN RE: PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE
(PPA) PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION,

MDL NO. 1407

This document relates to all
actions

ORDER REGARDING CASE
MANAGEMENT ORDERS 15
AND 15A

It appearing to the Court that the parties disagree
as to the interpretation of CMO No. 15, the Court finds
and rules as follows:

CMO No. 15 is clear on its face and is subject to
only one interpretation: That within 30 days of the date
of CMO No. 15, new individual complaints were to be filed
in any multi-plaintiff cases then pending, excluding
those cases alleging loss of consortium on behalf of a
spouse.

Since CMO No. 15 was entered on May 29, 2003, all
new individual complaints in cases pending in MDL 1407 as
of May 29, 2003 had to be filed and served on or before
June 29, 2003.



1 Nothing in CMO No. 15A alters the 30 day period that
2 plaintiffs had in which to file new individual com-
3 plaints.

4 The Court directs defendants, within seven (7) days
5 of this minute entry, to file a single proposed order of
6 dismissal listing all cases in which plaintiffs filed
7 untimely new individual complaints.¹
8

9 DATED at Seattle, Washington this 30th day of
10 October, 2003.

11 /s/ Barbara Jacobs Rothstein
12 BARBARA JACOBS ROTHSTEIN
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 ¹The Court considers the individual complaints filed by
25 plaintiffs in the following three cases to have been timely
26 filed: Storms v. Bayer Corp. CV 03-2160, Reeder v. Bayer Corp. CV
03-2159, and Forth v. Bayer Corp. CV 03-2161.